

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,516	01/31/2001	Martin Braddock	1430-261	4022
75	590 12/19/2002			
Nixon & Vanderhye			EXAMINER	
8th Floor 1100 North Gle	<del>-</del>	PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID		
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	15
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	/ <b>3</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

, 4,

Application No. 09/743,516

Applicant(s)

Braddock et al.

Examiner

Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1632** 



_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
Period f	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) e application to becon	MONTHS fa ne ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on July 31, as	nd Dec. 13, 20	002	•		
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\square$ This action	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 15 and 23-38			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>15 and 23-38</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	•		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 💢						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. X Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15)          Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.     </li> </ul>						
Attachm	·	priority under s		5. 33 120 dilajor 1211		
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PT0	D-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) No			lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/743,516

Art Unit: 1632

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/13/02 has been entered.

The amendment filed 12/13/02 has been entered. Claim 39 has been cancelled.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Drawings

The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 7/31/02. These drawings are approved by the Examiner and will be forwarded to the draftsperson for evaluation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 15 and 23-38 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons of record applied to claims 1-16 set forth in the Office action of 1/18/02, as containing subject

Application/Control Number: 09/743,516 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The amendment to claim 15 filed 4/18/02 overcomes the part of the rejection pertaining to the site of administration of the nucleic acid molecule. However, Applicant's arguments filed 12/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to the remaining issues of timing and dose for administration and whether the recited goal of the treatment is attainable.

Applicant again refers to the reference to Shah et al. in the specification. This issue was raised in the response of 4/18/02 and addressed in the Office action of 6/19/02. In summary, the contents of Shah et al. cannot be used as an extension of the specification for the purpose of satisfying the requirements of §112, 1<sup>st</sup> para.

Applicant contends that "wound site" refers both to sites a wound will be made (e.g. surgery) and has been made relative to the time the nucleic acid is administered, first, citing Example 4, and, second, alleging that such meaning is consistent with the prior art. The first argument was advanced in the response of 4/18/02 and addressed in the Office action of 6/19/02. The second is unsupported by evidence, i.e. that one of skill in the pertinent art would understand upon reading the instant specification that the "wound site" would embrace wounds yet to be made, e.g. a surgical incision, particularly when the specification fails to even mention using the

Application/Control Number: 09/743,516

Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

claimed method ancillary to surgery. "Argument of counsel cannot take the place of evidence lacking in the record." *In re Scarbrough*, 182 USPQ 298, 302 (CCPA 1974).

With respect to dosage of nucleic acid molecule, Applicant simply asserts that such teachings are present in the specification and are adequate, and fails to address the reasons set forth in the rejection that such teachings are inadequate.

Finally, Applicant does not address the issue of whether the recited method steps are capable of achieving the goal set forth in the preamble or treating proliferative disorders, which according to the specification means reducing scarring in the context of wound healing.

#### Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 1632

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1632 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014 for any type of communication. In addition, FAX numbers for a computer server system using RightFAX are also available for communications before final rejection, (703) 872-9306, and for communications after final rejection, (703) 872-9307, which will generate a return receipt. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott D. Priebe whose telephone number is (703) 308-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 AM to 4 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached on (703) 305-4051.

Any inquiry concerning administrative, procedural or formal matters relating to this application should be directed to Patent Analyst Patsy Zimmerman whose telephone number is (703) 308-8338. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Sott D. Prike

Art Unit 1632